

GAMA
DOMESTIC WELL PROJECT
GROUNDWATER QUALITY DATA REPORT
TULARE COUNTY FOCUS AREA



California State Water Resources Control Board
Groundwater Protection Section
GAMA Program
Domestic Well Project
May 2009

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	5
ABSTRACT	6
INTRODUCTION	7
<i>Domestic Well Project</i>	8
TULARE COUNTY BACKGROUND	10
HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING.....	10
<i>Well Construction Data</i>	12
METHODS.....	14
<i>Well Selection</i>	14
<i>Sample and Data Collection</i>	15
<i>Sample Analysis</i>	15
RESULTS	16
<i>Detections Above a Drinking Water Standard</i>	16
<i>Coliform Bacteria</i>	18
<i>General Minerals</i>	18
<i>Major Anions</i>	20
<i>Metals</i>	22
<i>Radionuclides</i>	26
<i>Pesticides</i>	29
<i>Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)</i>	32
POSSIBLE SOURCES OF CONTAMINANTS	33
<i>Nitrate</i>	33
<i>Coliform Bacteria</i>	33
<i>Vanadium</i>	33
<i>Radionuclides</i>	34
<i>DBCP</i>	34
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND REFERENCES	35

Figures

Figure 1: Location of Sampled Domestic Wells.....	9
Figure 2: Well Depth Histogram by Subbasin.....	13
Figure 3: Top 10 California Counties, Volume of Domestic Water Use	14
Figure 4: Total and Fecal Coliform Results.....	19
Figure 5: Nitrate (as N) Results.....	21
Figure 6: Vanadium Results	24
Figure 7: Thallium and Nickel Results	25
Figure 8: Radionuclides (Gross Alpha, Radium 226+228, and Uranium)	28
Figure 9: DBCP Results.....	31

Tables

Table 1: Domestic Well Depths.....	12
Table 2: Summary of Detections Above a Drinking Water Standard	17
Table 3: General Minerals	18
Table 4: Major Anions	20
Table 5: Metals	23
Table 6: Radionuclides.....	27
Table 7: Pesticides	30
Table 8: VOCs	32

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The GAMA Program staff and management thank all of the individual well owners and cooperating county, state, private, and non-profit agencies that participated in the Tulare County Domestic Well Project.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

DWR	California Department of Water Resources
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
GAMA	Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment
LLNL	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
NL	Notification Level
SMCL	Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level
µg/L	Micrograms per Liter
mg/L	Milligrams per Liter
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds

ABSTRACT

The State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) established the Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA) Program in 2000. Private domestic wells in Tulare County were sampled in 2006 as part of the GAMA Domestic Well Project. A main goal of the Domestic Well Project is to educate the public about private domestic well water quality. Tulare County was selected for sampling due to the large number of domestic wells located within the county and the availability of well-owner data. A total of 181 wells were sampled by Water Board staff, primarily in the valley and foothill areas of the county.

Groundwater samples were analyzed by an accredited environmental laboratory for commonly observed contaminants such as bacteria (total and fecal coliform), inorganic parameters (metals, major anions and general minerals), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A subset of groundwater samples were also analyzed for stable isotopes by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL). Test results were compared to public water supply standards established by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH). These water quality standards are used for comparison purposes only, since private domestic well water quality is not regulated by the State of California.

Test results were compared against three public drinking water standards – primary and secondary maximum contaminant levels (MCLs and SMCLs) and notification levels (NLs). Thirteen chemicals were detected at concentrations above public drinking water standards. Chemicals detected above MCLs included arsenic, beryllium, chromium, nickel, nitrate, nitrite, perchlorate, thallium, bacteria indicators, 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP), and radionuclides. Nitrate was the most frequently detected chemical above an MCL. Nitrate was detected in 75 wells at concentrations greater than or equal to the MCL of 10 mg/L (nitrate as N). Total coliform bacteria were present in 60 wells, and fecal coliform bacteria were present in 13 wells. Thallium and DBCP were detected at concentrations above the MCL in six and eight wells, respectively.

Aluminum, iron, manganese, total dissolved solids (TDS), and zinc were detected at concentrations above SMCLs. Vanadium was detected in 14 wells above the NL of 50 µg/L.

INTRODUCTION

Ninety-five percent of Californians get their drinking water from a public or municipal source - these supplies are typically treated to ensure that the water is safe to drink. However, private domestic wells supply drinking water to an estimated 1 million Californians. Those served by public or municipal supplies should be concerned about groundwater quality too, as groundwater supplies part or all of the water delivered to approximately 15 million municipal public water supply users. Contaminated groundwater results in treatment costs, well closures, and new well construction which increases costs for consumers.

Groundwater is also an important source of irrigation and industrial supply water. Reliance upon this resource is expected to increase in the future, in part due to increased agricultural and industrial demand, drought, climate change, and population/land-use changes. Consequently, there are growing concerns regarding groundwater quality in California, and whether decreases in quality will affect the availability of this resource. Since the 1980s, over 8,000 public groundwater drinking water sources have been shut down – some due to the detection of chemicals such as nitrate, arsenic, or methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE).

The State Water Board created the Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA) Program to address public concerns over groundwater quality. The primary objectives of the GAMA Program are to improve comprehensive statewide groundwater monitoring and to increase the public availability of groundwater quality information. The data gathered by GAMA highlight regional and local groundwater quality concerns, and may be used to evaluate whether there are specific chemicals of concern in specific areas throughout the state. The GAMA Program consists of four current projects:

- **Domestic Well Project:** A voluntary groundwater monitoring project that provides water quality information to private (domestic) well owners. To date, the Domestic Well Project has sampled over 1,000 private domestic wells in five county focus areas: Yuba (2002), El Dorado (2003-2004), Tehama (2005), Tulare (2006), and San Diego (2008-2009). State Water Board staff sample the participants' well at no cost to the well owner.
- **Priority Basin Project:** A comprehensive, statewide groundwater monitoring program that primarily uses public groundwater supply wells in high-use, or "priority," groundwater basins. These high-use basins contain more than 95% of all public groundwater supply wells. As of April 2009, the Priority Basin Project has sampled over 1,700 wells in over 90 different groundwater basins. The United States Geological Survey (USGS) is the project technical lead, with support from LLNL.

- **Special Studies Project:** Focuses on identification of pollutant sources and assessing the effects of remediation in private domestic and public supply wells. The Special Studies Project also studies aquifer storage and recovery projects. LLNL is the project technical lead.
- **GeoTracker GAMA:** A publicly-accessible, map-based on-line query tool that helps users find useful groundwater quality data and information.

This Data Summary Report summarizes Domestic Well Project results from 181 domestic wells sampled in the Tulare County Focus Area collected during 2006. Sampled well locations are shown in Figure 1.


Domestic Well Project

Domestic wells differ from public drinking water supply wells in several respects; domestic wells are generally shallower, are privately owned, supply a single household, and tend to be located in more rural settings where public water supply systems are not available. Estimates from the USGS indicate that there are over 500,000 domestic wells in California, supplying water to approximately five percent of the total population. Due to low pumping rates, the volume of groundwater use by domestic well owners is estimated at 2 percent of the total groundwater volume used in California. There are more than 20,000 domestic wells in Tulare County alone (State of California, 1999). Because the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) does not regulate private domestic wells, well owners may not have an accurate assessment of their own well water quality.

Domestic well owners are responsible for ensuring the water quality of their domestic well. Domestic wells typically produce very high quality drinking water. However, poor well construction or placement close to a potential source of contamination can result in poor domestic well water quality. Chemicals from surface-related activities such as industrial spills, leaking underground fuel tanks, and agricultural applications can impact groundwater. Biological pathogens from sewers, septic systems, and animal facilities can infiltrate into groundwater. Naturally-occurring chemicals can also contaminate groundwater supplies.

Water quality testing results from the Domestic Well Project were compared to existing groundwater information and public supply well data to help assess California groundwater quality and identify issues that may impact private domestic well water. Test results were mailed to the Tulare domestic well owners in a letter from the State Water Board. A summary list of test results was also shared with State and local health officials to assist in well owner inquiries and concerns.

Figure 1: Location of Sampled Domestic Wells



TULARE COUNTY BACKGROUND

Tulare County is part of one of the nation's most productive agricultural regions. The major economic activity in the county is agriculture, and agricultural output from Tulare County alone accounts for approximately 35% of the state's total agricultural economy. With over \$3.5 billion in annual agricultural revenues, Tulare County is the most productive county in the United States in terms of revenue. Tulare has been the number one milk-producing county in the United States since 2003.

HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING

The western half of Tulare County is comprised of flat valley lands of the southern San Joaquin Valley, while rolling foothills associated with the Sierra Nevada Mountains characterize its eastern half. Topography consists of flat valley land, gently rolling foothills, and canyons of the Sierra Nevada Mountains. Water bearing units within Tulare County include younger and older alluvium, flood-basin deposits, lacustrine, marsh and continental deposits. The older alluvium is moderately to highly permeable and is the major aquifer for Tulare County. Regional groundwater flow is generally southwestward; however, pumping can affect local groundwater flow direction.

Tulare County is located within the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin. The California Department of Water Resources (DWR) Bulletin 118 identifies several groundwater subbasins in Tulare County, including the following:

- **Kings Subbasin**: The Kings Subbasin underlies northern Tulare County west of the Sierra foothills. The groundwater system consists of unconsolidated deposits of alluvium, lacustrine sediments, and flood plain deposits. Approximately 17% of the sampled wells were located in the Kings Subbasin.
- **Kaweah Subbasin**: The Kaweah Subbasin underlies central Tulare County west of the Sierra foothills. The major water-bearing units are made up of unconsolidated Pliocene, Pleistocene, and Holocene-age sediments. Continental lacustrine and marsh deposits are found in the western portion of the subbasin, closer to the Tulare Lake bed. Clay beds associated with lacustrine deposits form aquitards that influence the horizontal movement of local groundwater. The most well-known clay bed is the Corcoran clay, which underlies the western half of the Kaweah Subbasin from 200 to 500 feet below ground surface (bgs). Paleosols or similar oxidized deposits outcrop in the eastern parts of the subbasin closer to the Sierra foothills. The county's population centers of Visalia and Tulare are located within the Kaweah Subbasin. Approximately 44% of the sampled wells were located in the Kaweah Subbasin.

- **Tule Subbasin**: The Tule Subbasin underlies southern Tulare County west of the Sierra foothills. Water bearing deposits in the Tulare Subbasin are comprised of flood-basin deposits, alluvium, the Tulare Formation, and undifferentiated continental sediments deposited during the Pliocene to Holocene. The Tulare Formation contains the Corcoran Clay, which is the major confining unit in the subbasin. Approximately 20% of the sampled wells were located in the Tule Subbasin.
- **Foothills**: The Foothills area is not a DWR-defined basin. It is comprised of wells located east of the valley portion of Tulare County in the higher-elevation. The water bearing unit is generally fractured crystalline rock associated with uplift and emplacement of the Sierra Nevada Mountains. Approximately 19% of the sampled wells were located in the foothills.

In Tulare County, municipal and irrigation wells are typically completed to a total depth of 100 to 500 feet bgs, except for within the Tule Subbasin where well depths range between 200 to 1,400 feet bgs (DWR, 2004). Groundwater recharge in the county occurs through river and stream seepage, percolation of irrigation water, canal seepage, and intentional recharge. Land subsidence of up to 16 feet occurred due to deep compaction of fine-grained units. This subsidence is thought to be due to groundwater withdrawal.

Well Construction Data

The completed depths of wells sampled in Tulare County as part of the Domestic Well Project are shown in Table 1 (well construction data was available for 141 of the 181 sampled wells). The data suggest that the shallow aquifer system provides adequate water supply for domestic use. Over 50% of the wells sampled as part of the Domestic Well Project were completed at a depth less than 200 feet.

Table 1: Domestic Well Depths

GAMA Domestic Well Project, Tulare County Focus Area

Total Well Depth (feet bgs)	Number of Wells
0-24	1
25-49	1
50-74	8
75-99	19
100-124	9
125-149	18
150-174	14
175-199	13
200-224	5
225-249	8
250-274	7
275-299	9
300-324	11
325-349	0
350-374	1
375-400	4
>400	12

Note: Well depth data not available for all wells




Figure 2: Well Depth Histogram by Subbasin


The depths of wells sampled as part of the Domestic Well Project were grouped by subbasin.

- Wells sampled in the Kaweah Subbasin are generally completed to depths between 100 and 250 feet bgs. However, a significant number of wells in the Kaweah Subbasin are completed at depths greater than 250 feet bgs.
- Wells sampled in the Kings Subbasin are generally completed at shallower depths – all sampled wells are less than 200 feet bgs.
- Wells sampled in the Tule Subbasin are in general deeper than wells drilled in other parts of the county. Approximately 68% of wells sampled in the Tule Subbasin are completed to depths greater than 250 feet bgs, suggesting that either depth to groundwater is greater or that domestic well owners are avoiding shallower groundwater in this subbasin.
- There is no discernable pattern observed in wells sampled in the Foothills area, where both very shallow and very deep wells are observed.

METHODS

Well Selection

Tulare County was selected by GAMA due to the large number of domestic wells within the county and the availability of well owner data. Based on a 1999 survey by the State of California, Department of Finance census, over 20,000 private domestic wells are located in Tulare County. Tulare County is the eighth largest user, based upon volume of withdrawals (USGS, 2000). The top ten California counties in terms of volume of domestic water use is shown in Figure 3 below. The Tulare County Department of Health and Human Services provided GAMA staff with an electronic database containing the names, mailing addresses, and parcel map book numbers of domestic well owners. Approximately 1,500 of these domestic well owners were mailed a brochure in Spanish and English containing information about the GAMA well testing program and inviting them to participate. A total of 181 domestic well owners volunteered to have their well tested.



**Figure 3: Top 10 California Counties, Volume of Domestic Water Use
(USGS, 2000)**

Sample and Data Collection

Well construction information was obtained from either the well owners or from DWR well completion reports (well logs). Observations at each well noted the location of nearby septic systems, large-scale agriculture, or livestock enclosures that could result in contamination of the well. Well locations were recorded using a Geographic Positioning Satellite (GPS) unit. Water temperature, pH, and specific electrical conductance were measured in the field. Field information was documented on a paper form and later entered into a computer database.

Groundwater samples were collected from an access point as close to the well head as possible. Most often, the sample was collected from a spigot (hose bib) just before or after the pressure tank. All samples were collected in laboratory supplied pre-cleaned bottles, and were stored in an insulated container with ice until delivery to the laboratory. New nitrile gloves were worn by field staff during sample collection to minimize contamination during the sample handling process.

Trip blank and duplicate samples were collected at approximately 10 percent of the well locations. These samples help determine if cross contamination was introduced during sample collection, processing, storage, and/or transportation. All trip blank and duplicate data results were within acceptable range criteria.

Sample Analysis

Groundwater samples were analyzed by Delta Environmental Laboratories in Benicia, California for the following:

- Bacteria (total and fecal coliform)
- Inorganic parameters (metals, major anions and general minerals)
- Volatile organic compounds (VOCs)
- Non-routine analytes: radionuclides, pesticides, perchlorate

In addition, selected groundwater samples were analyzed by LLNL for the following:

- Stable isotopes of oxygen and hydrogen in water
- Stable isotopes of nitrogen and oxygen in nitrate

RESULTS

Detections Above a Drinking Water Standard

There are no Federal or State water quality standards that regulate private domestic well water quality. The Domestic Well Project has compared the test results to the following public drinking water standards: CDPH primary maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), secondary MCLs (SMCLs), and notification levels (NLs). The MCL is the highest concentration of a contaminant allowed in public drinking water. Primary MCLs address health concerns, while secondary MCLs (SMCLs) address aesthetics, such as taste and odor. NLs are health-based advisory levels for chemicals in public drinking water that have no formal regulatory standards.

Analytes that were detected in one or more wells above a drinking water standard:

- Total and Fecal Coliform Bacteria
- Nitrate (NO_3^-)
- Nitrite
- 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane (DBCP)
- Gross alpha activity
- Radium 226+228
- Uranium
- Perchlorate
- Arsenic
- Beryllium
- Chromium
- Thallium
- Nickel
- Lead
- Iron
- Aluminum
- Manganese
- Vanadium
- Zinc
- Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)

A summary of all analytes detected above a drinking water standard is outlined in Table 2. Detailed results of the domestic well sampling are summarized below.

Table 2: Summary of Detections Above a Drinking Water Standard						
GAMA Domestic Well Project, Tulare County Focus Area, Concentrations Above Public Drinking Water Standards						
Total Number of Wells Sampled: 181						
Compound	Number of Wells	Percentage	Range of Detected Values Above Public Drinking Water Standards	Public Drinking Water Standards ³		
				MCL	SMCL	NL
Major Ions & General Chemistry						
Nitrate (as N)	72	40%	10.1 - 54 mg/L	10 mg/L		
Perchlorate	2 (of 30 sampled)	6%	7.9 - 13 µg/L	6 µg/L		
Nitrite (as N)	4	2%	1.52 - 4.08 mg/L	10 mg/L		
Total Diss. Solids (TDS)	4	2%	1,002 - 1,052 mg/L		1,000 mg/L	
Metals						
Vanadium	14	8%	50.1 - 42.9 µg/L			50 µg/L
Aluminum	2	1%	275 - 450 µg/L		200 µg/L	
Arsenic	2	2%	10.4 - 14 µg/L	10 µg/L		
Beryllium	1	<1%	113 µg/L	4 µg/L		
Chromium	2	1%	76.7 - 91.9 µg/L	50 µg/L		
Iron	2	1%	608 - 650 µg/L		300 µg/L	
Manganese	2	1%	93.5 - 172 µg/L		50 µg/L	
Nickel	3	2%	121 - 213 µg/L	100 µg/L		
Thallium	6	3%	2.11 - 7.32 µg/L	2 µg/L		
Zinc	1	<1%	17.3 mg/L		5 mg/L	
Radionuclides						
Gross Alpha	3 (of 13 sampled)	23%	15.1 - 602 pCi/L	15 pCi/L ¹		
Radium 226+228	1 (of 13 sampled)	8%	5.1 pCi/L	5 pCi/L ¹		
Uranium	1 (of 13 sampled)	8%	228 pCi/L	20 pCi/L ¹		
Bacteria Indicators						
Total Coliform	60	33%	NA ²	Present		
Fecal Coliform	13	7%	NA ²	Present		
Organic Compounds (Pesticides and VOCs)						
1,2-dibromo 3-chloropropane (DBCP)	8	4%	0.221 - 2.83 µg/L	0.2 µg/L		
1,2,3-trichloropropane	1	<1%	0.8			0.005 µg/L
Notes:						
1. pCi/L = picocuries per liter; mg/L = milligrams per liter, or parts per million (ppm); µg/L = micrograms per liter or parts per billion (ppb)						
2. Coliform are evaluated on a presence/absence criteria. No range can be determined						
3. MCL = California Department of Public Health (CDPH) Primary Maximum Contaminant Level; SMCL = CDPH Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level; NL = CDPH Notification Level						

Coliform Bacteria

Total coliform bacteria were detected in 60 wells (25% of total samples). Thirteen of the wells with positive total coliform detections also tested positive for fecal coliform (7% of sampled wells). Figure 4 shows the distribution of total and fecal coliform bacteria detected in sampled domestic wells.

General Minerals

General minerals detected in domestic well samples are summarized in Table 3. These naturally occurring minerals include measures of alkalinity, hardness, and total dissolved solids (TDS). There are no established regulatory levels for many general mineral analytes. Only foaming agents (MBAS) and TDS have SMCLs. MBAS, which are typically associated with the presence of detergents, were not detected at a concentration below the MCL. TDS is an estimate of the total concentration of all non-settleable (dissolved) components in water. TDS was detected above the SMCL of 1000 mg/L in four wells.


All of the general minerals listed in Table 3, with the exception of foaming agents (MBAS), occur naturally in groundwater. Human activities can sometimes change the concentrations of these minerals in groundwater.

Table 3: General Minerals

GAMA Domestic Well Project, Tulare County Focus Area

Analyte	Range of Detected Values (mg/L)	Public Drinking Water Standard (mg/L)	Number of Wells Above Standard
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	34 - 660	NA	0
Bicarbonate	41 - 805	NA	0
Carbonate	122	NA	0
Calcium	7.92 - 169	NA	0
Magnesium	0.42 - 93.3	NA	0
Potassium	0.35 - 14.1	NA	0
Sodium	230 - 296	NA	0
Foaming Agents (MBAS)	0.06 - 0.07	0.5 (SMCL)	0
Hardness (Total) as CaCO ₃	19.8 - 608	NA	0
pH, Laboratory	5.48 - 8.39	NA	0
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	5.52 – 1,052	1,000 (SMCL)	4
Notes: 1. SMCL = Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level 2. mg/L = milligrams per liter 3. NA = Health or aesthetic standards are not available for this constituent			

Figure 4: Total and Fecal Coliform Results



Major Anions


Major anions detected in domestic well samples are summarized in Table 4. Nitrate (NO_3^-), nitrite (NO_2^-), and perchlorate were detected at concentrations above a drinking water standard. Nitrate was measured as mg/L as N. Nitrate was detected in 173 wells at concentrations ranging from 0.11 to 54 mg/L (as N). Nitrate was detected at or above the MCL (10 mg/L as N) in 75 wells. The distribution of nitrate in domestic wells is shown on Figure 5. Nitrite was detected in 68 wells; nitrite was detected above the MCL (1.0 mg/L as N) in four wells. Perchlorate was sampled in a smaller subset of wells (30 wells), and was detected above the MCL (0.006 mg/L) in two wells.

Table 4: Major Anions

GAMA Domestic Well Project, Tulare County Focus Area

Analyte	Range of Detected Values (mg/L)	Public Drinking Water Standard (mg/L)	Number of Wells Above Standard
Chloride	1.1 - 341	500 SMCL	0
Fluoride	0.1 - 0.7	2 MCL	0
Nitrate (as N)	0.11 - 54	10 MCL	72
Nitrite (as N)	0.1 - 4.1	1 MCL	4
Perchlorate	0.6 - 13	0.006 MCL	2
Sulfate	2.4 - 220	500 SMCL	0
<u>Notes:</u> MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level, SMCL = Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level. mg/L = milligrams per liter			

Figure 5: Nitrate (as N) Results



Metals

Metals detected in domestic well samples are shown in Table 5. Ten metals (aluminum, arsenic, beryllium, chromium, iron, lead, manganese, nickel, thallium, vanadium, and zinc) were detected at concentrations above a public drinking water standard. A summary of metals detected above a drinking water standard is provided below. The locations of wells with detections of vanadium and thallium above a drinking water standard are shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively.

- Aluminum was detected in 120 wells at concentrations ranging from 5.85 to 450 µg/L. Aluminum was detected above the SMCL (200 µg/L) in two wells.
- Arsenic was detected in 126 wells at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 14 µg/L. Arsenic was detected above the MCL (10 µg/L) in two wells.
- Beryllium was detected in one sample at 113 µg/L. This concentration is above the MCL of 4 µg/L.
- Total chromium was detected in 42 wells at concentrations ranging from 2.36 to 91.9 µg/L. Chromium was detected above the MCL (50 µg/L) in two wells.
- Manganese was detected in 149 wells at concentrations ranging from 0.11 to 172 µg/L. Manganese was detected above the SMCL (50 µg/L) in two wells.
- Iron was detected in 44 wells at concentrations ranging from 20.1 to 650 µg/L. Iron was detected above the SMCL (300 µg/L) in two wells.
- Nickel was detected in 55 wells at concentrations ranging from 2.16 to 213 µg/L. Nickel was detected above the MCL (100 µg/L) in three wells.
- Thallium was detected in 25 wells at concentrations ranging from 0.2 to 7.32 µg/L. Thallium was detected above the MCL (2 µg/L) in six wells.
- Vanadium was detected in 165 wells at concentrations ranging from 3.77 to 92.9 µg/L. Vanadium was detected above the NL (50 µg/L) in 14 wells.
- Zinc was detected in 171 wells at concentrations ranging from 1.37 to 17,300 µg/L. Zinc was detected above the SMCL (5 mg/L) in one sample.

Table 5: Metals**GAMA Domestic Well Project, Tulare County Focus Area**

Analyte	Range of Detected Values (µg/L)	Public Drinking Water Standard (µg/L)	Number of Wells Above Standard
Aluminum	5.85 - 450	200 SMCL	2
Arsenic	0.1 - 14	10 MCL	2
Barium	1.54 - 495	1,000 MCL	0
Beryllium	113	4 MCL	1
Cadmium	1.16	5 MCL	0
Chromium (Total)	0 - 91.9	50 MCL	2
Copper	1.1 - 60.6	1,000 SMCL	0
Iron	20.1 - 650	300 SMCL	2
Lead	0.11 - 6.48	15 NL	0
Manganese	0.11 - 172	50 SMCL	2
Nickel	3.16 - 213	100 MCL	3
Selenium	0.11 - 1.55	50 MCL	0
Silver	33.6	100 SMCL	0
Thallium	0.2 - 7.32	2 MCL	6
Vanadium	0.2 - 92.9	50 NL	14
Zinc	1.37 - 17,300	5,000 SMCL	1

Notes:

1. MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level, SMCL = Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level, NL = Notification level
2. µg/L = micrograms per liter

Figure 6: Vanadium Results





Figure 7: Thallium and Nickel Results




Radionuclides

Thirteen domestic wells were selected for radionuclide analyses. Test results are shown in Table 6. Radionuclide analyses included gross alpha particle activity, gross beta particle activity, combined radium (the activity of radium-226 and radium-228), tritium, and uranium. Drinking water standards for radionuclides are in picocuries per liter (pCi/L) or millirems per year (millirem/yr). A curie is the radioactivity associated with one gram of radium – a picocurie is one trillionth of a curie. The gross beta activity drinking water standard is in terms of millirems per year. A ‘rem’ is a unit of measure describing how a specific type of radiation damages biologic tissue. A millirem is one thousandth of a rem. There is no simple conversion between a curie and a rem. Gross beta activity previously had an MCL of 50 pCi/L, which was replaced by the 4 millirem/yr standard. Gross beta activity of 50 pCi/L is still used as a trigger for additional testing by CDPH. A summary of radionuclide test results is included below. The locations of wells sampled for uranium, gross alpha activity, and radium (226+228) is shown in Figure 8.

- Gross alpha activity was detected in all thirteen sampled wells at activities ranging from 2.8 to 602 pCi/L. Gross alpha activity was above the MCL (15 pCi/L) in three wells.
- Gross beta activity was detected in twelve of the thirteen sampled wells, with activities ranging from 2.8 to 7.15 pCi/L. None of the gross beta activities were above the MCL (4 millirem/year) or NL (50 pCi/L).
- Combined radium (radium 226+228) activity was detected in nine of thirteen wells at activities ranging from 0.71 to 5.2 pCi/L. Radium activity was above the MCL (5 pCi/L) in one sample.
- Tritium activity was detected in ten of thirteen sampled wells at activities ranging from 181 to 1,264 pCi/L. None of the wells were above the MCL (20,000 pCi/L).
- Uranium activity was detected in all thirteen sampled wells at activities ranging from 2.15 to 228 pCi/L. Uranium activity was above the MCL (20 pCi/L) in one sample.

Table 6: Radionuclides			
GAMA Domestic Well Project, Tulare County Focus Area			
Analyte	Range of Detected Values (pCi/L)	Public Drinking Water Standard (pCi/L)	Number of Wells Above Standard
Gross alpha	2.8 - 602	15 MCL	3
Gross beta	2.8 - 7.15	50 NL 4 milirem/yr MCL	0
Radium 226+228	0.71 - 5.2	5 MCL	1
Tritium	181 – 1,264	20,000 MCL	0
Uranium	2.15 - 228	20 MCL	1
<u>Notes:</u> MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level. pCi/L = picocurie per liter. milirem/yr = milirems per year			

Figure 8: Radionuclides (Gross Alpha, Radium 226+228, and Uranium)



Pesticides

Tulare County is an important agricultural county. Pesticides are used to maintain high production and prevent crop-loss. Only pesticides with high historical or modern use and potential for reaching groundwater were tested.

Historically, 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP) has been detected in groundwater in the San Joaquin Valley at concentrations greater than the MCL. DBCP was tested in all Domestic Well Project samples. The locations of wells with detections of DBCP are shown in Figure 9. Twenty selected domestic wells were tested for an additional suite of pesticides or pesticide-degradates: diuron, atrazine, chlorotrazine (DACT), deisopropylatrazine (DIA), deethylatrazine (DEA), prometon, simazine, metribuzin, prometryn, bromacil, cyanazine, norflurazon, hexazinone, desmethylnorflurazon, primidone, and metolachlor. LLNL performed the analyses for all pesticides other than DBCP, following California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) methodology. Technological capabilities at LLNL allow low detection limits for target chemicals. Test results from LLNL are reported at parts per trillion (ppt, or ng/L) concentrations. The locations of wells with detections of any pesticide are shown in Figure 10.

Prometon, metribuzin, and prometryn were not detected in any of the wells selected for pesticide testing. All pesticides, with the exception of DBCP, were detected at concentrations less than established drinking water standards. Other pesticide compounds were detected as follows:

- **DBCP** was detected in 27 wells at concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 1.63 µg/L. Concentrations of DBCP were above the MCL of 0.2 µg/L in eight wells.
- **Hexazinone** was detected in one sample at a concentration of 0.027 µg/L.
- **Primidone** was detected in one sample at a concentration of 0.070 µg/L.
- **Metolachlor** was detected in one sample at a concentration of 0.077 µg/L.
- **Cyanazine** was detected in two samples, both at concentrations of 0.012 µg/L.
- **Atrazine** was detected in three wells at concentrations ranging from 0.012 to 0.037 µg/L.
- **DIA** was detected in eleven wells at concentrations ranging from 0.016 to 0.732 µg/L.

- **DACT** was detected in five wells at concentrations ranging from 0.031 to 0.099 µg/L.
- **DEA** was detected in six wells at concentrations ranging from 0.012 to 0.050 µg/L.
- **Diuron** was detected in nine wells at concentrations ranging from 0.011 to 0.750 µg/L.
- **Simazine** was detected in ten wells with concentrations ranging from 0.011 to 0.158 µg/L.
- **Bromacil** was detected in eight wells at concentrations ranging from 0.016 to 1.021 µg/L.
- **Norflurazon** was detected in five wells at concentrations ranging from 0.022 to 1.390 µg/L.
- **Desmethylnorflurazon** (a degradate of norflurazon) was detected in four wells at concentrations ranging from 0.093 to 0.323 ug/L.


Table 7: Pesticides

GAMA Domestic Well Project, Tulare County Focus Area

Analyte	Range of Detected Values (µg/L)	Public Drinking Water Standard (µg/L)	Number of Wells Above Standard
DBCP	0.01 - 1.63	0.2 MCL	8
Diuron	0.011 - 0.750	NA	0
DACT	0.031 - 0.099	NA	0
DIA	0.016 - 0.732	NA	0
DEA	0.012 - 0.050	NA	0
Prometon	Not Detected	NA	0
Simazine	0.011 - 0.158	4 MCL	0
Atrazine	0.012 - 0.037	1 MCL	0
Metribuzin	Not Detected	NA	0
Prometryn	Not Detected	NA	0
Bromacil	0.016 - 1.021	NA	0
Cyanazine	0.012	NA	0
Hexazinone	0.027	NA	0
Primidone	0.070	NA	0
Metolachlor	0.077	NA	0
Norflurazon	0.022 - 1.390	NA	0
Desmethylnorflurazon	0.093 - 0.323	NA	0

Notes: NA = Not Available; Public Drinking Water Standards are not available for all chemicals. MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level . µg/L = micrograms per liter

Figure 9: DBCP Results



Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

VOCs detected in domestic wells are summarized in Table 8. A single VOC was detected above a public drinking water standard (NL) in wells sampled as part of the Domestic Well Project. Low-level concentrations, below public drinking water standards, of six additional VOCs were detected.

- **1,1-Dichloroethane** at a concentration of 0.6 µg/L in one well
- **1,2,3-Trichloropropane** at a concentration of 0.8 µg/L in one well. This concentration is above the NL (0.005 µg/L).
- **Chloroform** at concentrations ranging from 0.7 to 15.8 µg/L in five wells
- **Chloromethane** at a concentration of 1 µg/L in one well
- **N-butylbenzene** at a concentration of 0.2 µg/L in one well
- **Tetrachloroethene (PCE)** at a concentration of 2.33 µg/L in one well
- **Toluene** at a concentration of 22 µg/L in one well

Table 8: VOCs			
GAMA Domestic Well Project, Tulare County Focus Area			
Analyte	Range of Detected Values (µg/L)	Public Drinking Water Standard (µg/L)	Number of Wells Above Standard
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.6	5 MCL	0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.8	0.005 NL	1
Chloroform	0.7 - 15.8	80 MCL	0
Chloromethane	1.0	NA	0
n-butylbenzene	0.2	260 NL	0
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	2.33	5 MCL	0
Toluene	22	150 MCL	0
Notes: 1. MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level , NL = Notification Level 2. µg/L = micrograms per liter 3. NA = Public drinking water standards are not available for this constituent			

POSSIBLE SOURCES OF CHEMICALS IN GROUNDWATER

Twenty constituents were detected above water quality standards in the Tulare County Focus Area. Five of these constituents were observed in more than five percent of the sampled wells. Potential sources for these constituents, summarized from groundwater collected across the country, are discussed below. The focus of this sampling was not to pinpoint a source of chemicals found in groundwater, and the source descriptions do not imply that a chemical observed in a domestic well comes from any single, specific source. The summaries are provided as information for well owners.

Nitrate

Nitrate is commonly found in groundwater. Low levels of nitrate may be natural in origin; however, high concentrations of nitrate are generally related to fertilizer production and application, septic systems, agricultural and animal waste ponds, leaking sewer lines, sludge or manure application, and the production of explosives. The most significant health threat associated with nitrate is associated with methemoglobinaemia (“blue baby” syndrome). Toxic effects occur when bacteria in an infant’s stomach convert nitrate to more toxic nitrite, interfering with the body’s ability to carry oxygen. High nitrate levels are also a health risk for pregnant women. Some studies suggest an association between high nitrate in drinking water and certain types of cancers (Weyer et al., 2001).

Coliform Bacteria

Total coliform bacteria are naturally present in the environment, and in general are harmless to people. However, some coliforms may cause illness in humans, and the presence of coliforms is an indication that other micro-organisms may be present. Fecal coliforms are found in human and animal wastes and, when present, indicate contamination. Drinking water that contains coliform bacteria increases the risk of becoming ill. Well owners should not drink water with fecal coliform in it.

Vanadium

Vanadium enters the environment from natural sources and from the burning of fossil fuels. It is generally considered a naturally-occurring element in groundwater although some industrial activities, such as mining, may result in increased groundwater concentrations. The health effects of ingesting high doses of vanadium are relatively unknown. Some animals that have ingested vanadium over a long time have developed minor kidney and liver changes, while ingestion of high levels of vanadium by pregnant animals has resulted in minor birth defects.

Radionuclides

Radionuclides are a naturally occurring part of the Earth, and are present (usually at very low levels) in every substance and material on the planet. Most radiation detected in groundwater is the result of interactions with natural geologic materials that contain trace levels of radioactive elements. Different radionuclides will interact and damage biologic activity differently – as a result, some constituents have greater or lower MCLs than others. Drinking water with concentrations of radionuclides above a public drinking water standard increases the risk of certain types of cancers.

DBCP

DBCP was used as a soil fumigant to control nematodes. Prior to 1979, DBCP was widely applied to over 40 types of crops. In California, DBCP was primarily used on grapes and tomatoes. DBCP was banned in the continental United States in 1979. However, DBCP travels easily in groundwater and may persist in groundwater for long periods of time. In sunlight, DBCP is rapidly degraded and broken down. Data collected on workers involved in the manufacture and formulation of DBCP has shown that DBCP can cause sterility or other reproductive effects at very low levels of exposure. There is some evidence that DBCP may have the potential to cause cancer with lifetime exposure at levels above the MCL.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND REFERENCES

1. California Department of Health Services, Division of Drinking Water and Environmental Management, Preparing Your California Consumer Drinking Water Confidence Report (CCR) – Guidance for Water Suppliers, January 1, 2005.
2. California Department of Health Services: MCLs, DLRs and Unregulated Chemicals Requiring Monitoring.
<http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/ddwem/chemicals/mcl/mclindex.htm>
3. California Department of Water Resources, Individual Basins Descriptions, Bulletin 118 – Update 2006, California's Groundwater, October 2006.
http://www.groundwater.water.ca.gov/bulletin118/basin_desc/index.cfm
4. California State Water Resources Control Board-Geotracker, Analytical results of groundwater in domestic well, GAMA-Program, Tulare County, SWRCB, 2009
<https://geotracker.swrcb.ca.gov/gama/regulators/>
5. California State Water Resources Control Board, Report to the Governor and Legislature, A Comprehensive Groundwater Quality Monitoring Program For California, March 2003.
6. California State Water Resources Control Board, Voluntary Domestic Well Assessment Project Sampling and Analysis Plan, 2006.
7. Clark I., P Fritz, 1997, Environmental Isotopes in Hydrogeology, CRC Press LLC.
8. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40 Protection of Environment, Part 257.23, Criteria for Classification of Solid Waste Facilities and Practices, Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Requirements, 2003.
9. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Progress Report, GAMA Program Special Studies, September 2006
10. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Progress Report, GAMA Program-Special Studies, January 2007
11. GAMA Program Chemicals of Concern Groundwater Information Sheet. Nitrate. 2008.

- http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/gama/docs/coc_nitrate.pdf
12. GAMA Program Chemicals of Concern Groundwater Information Sheet. DBCP. 2008.
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/gama/docs/dbcp_2008.pdf
 13. GAMA Program Chemicals of Concern Groundwater Information Sheet. Bacteria Indicators. 2008.
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/gama/docs/bacteria_indicators.pdf
 14. GAMA Program Chemicals of Concern Groundwater Information Sheet. Radionuclides. 2008.
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/gama/docs/radionuclides.pdf
 15. State of California, Department of Finance, City/County Population and Housing Estimates, 1991-1999, with 1990 census counts. Sacramento California, May 1999.
 16. US EPA. 2006. Secondary Drinking Water Regulations: Guidance for Nuisance Chemicals.
<http://www.epa.gov/safewater/consumer/2ndstandards.html>
 17. Weyer, P.J., Cerhan, J.R., Kross, B.C., Hallberg, G.R., Kantamneni, J., Breuer, G., Jones, M.P., Zheng, W., Lynch, C.F. 2001. Municipal drinking water nitrate level and cancer risk in older women: the Iowa women's health study. Epidemiology, v. 11, p. 327-338.